



Electromagnetic Field (EMF) and Device Sensitivity: Population Prevalence Statistics

General EMF Sensitivity (Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity - EHS)

The prevalence of people reporting sensitivity to electromagnetic fields varies significantly across countries and studies, ranging from **0.7% to 13.3%** of the population. This wide variation reflects differences in study methodologies, diagnostic criteria, and cultural factors.^{[1] [2] [3]}

Key Prevalence Data by Country:

Country	Prevalence Range	Notable Findings
Germany	7.2-10.3% ^{[2] [3]}	Highest reported rates globally
Taiwan	13.3% ^[2]	Single highest country rate
Switzerland	5.0-8.6% ^[2]	Varied by study year
Netherlands	3.5-7.0% ^[2]	Consistent with European averages
United Kingdom	4.0-5.0% ^[2]	~435,500 people affected nationally
Austria	3.5% ^[4]	Mid-range prevalence
California, USA	3.2% ^[4]	Based on 2,072-person telephone survey
Sweden	1.5-2.7% ^{[1] [2]}	Temporal variation in studies
Japan	1.2% ^[2]	Lower Asian population rates
Finland	0.7% ^[2]	Lowest reported rates

The **average prevalence across studies is approximately 5.1%**, with most estimates falling between 3-5% of the general population for mild to moderate cases. Severe cases requiring work accommodations affect an estimated **0.65%** of the population.^{[5] [2] [3] [6] [1]}

WiFi-Specific Sensitivity

WiFi sensitivity is typically included within general EMF hypersensitivity statistics rather than being measured separately. Key findings include:^{[7] [8]}

- WiFi devices contribute **6-13%** to total electromagnetic field exposure in environments like schools^[7]
- Most scientific studies show **no consistent evidence** linking WiFi exposure to health effects in controlled conditions^{[9] [10] [11]}
- WiFi exposure levels are typically **thousands of times below** international safety guidelines^[10]

- Double-blind provocation studies have found that people claiming WiFi sensitivity cannot reliably detect when WiFi signals are present versus absent^[8]

The prevalence of people specifically attributing symptoms to WiFi is generally considered part of the overall EMF/EHS population, estimated at **3-5%** of the general population.^{[12] [13]}

60 Hz Power Line Frequency Sensitivity

Specific prevalence data for 60 Hz power line frequency sensitivity is limited, as most studies group this with general electromagnetic hypersensitivity. Key research findings include:

- **No specific prevalence statistics** are available for 60 Hz sensitivity alone^{[14] [15] [16]}
- Controlled studies have found **no physiological effects** from 60 Hz magnetic field exposure at typical environmental levels (12.5 μ T)^{[15] [16]}
- Epidemiological studies suggest potential associations with childhood leukemia, but these affect a very small population subset - approximately **0.8%** of homes have magnetic field exposures above 0.4 μ T^[17]
- Most 60 Hz sensitivity reports are included in the general EMF hypersensitivity prevalence of **3-5%**^{[2] [1]}

Smartphone-Related Issues

While not technically "electromagnetic sensitivity," smartphone-related health concerns affect much larger portions of the population:

Smartphone Dependency and Addiction:

- **74.3%** of smartphone users report feeling dependent on their device^[18]
- **95%** of American adults currently use cell phones^[19]
- Over **75%** of Americans admit to being addicted to their phones^[20]
- **47%** say they couldn't live without their smartphone^[20]

Physical Symptoms from Smartphone Use:

- **25.9%** experience wrist and hand pain from smartphone use^[18]
- **34.5%** report neck or back pain while using smartphones^[18]
- **53.8%** experience ear discomfort^[18]
- Various studies report fatigue, sleep disturbances, and psychological effects in significant portions of users^[18]

Scientific Consensus and Limitations

Important considerations regarding these statistics:

1. **Diagnostic Challenges:** No standardized medical criteria exist for diagnosing electromagnetic hypersensitivity^{[1] [5]}

2. **Placebo Effects:** Double-blind studies consistently show that people claiming EMF sensitivity cannot reliably detect electromagnetic field exposure^{[4] [8] [1]}
3. **Nocebo Effects:** Symptoms often correlate with perceived rather than actual exposure levels^{[8] [1]}
4. **Methodological Variations:** Different studies use varying exposure assessments and symptom criteria, contributing to wide prevalence ranges^{[2] [1]}
5. **Self-Reported Nature:** Most prevalence data relies on self-reported sensitivity rather than objective medical diagnosis^{[5] [2]}

The World Health Organization uses the neutral term "Idiopathic Environmental Intolerance attributed to EMF" (IEI-EMF) because scientific evidence has not established a causal link between electromagnetic field exposure and reported symptoms.^{[21] [8]}

**

1. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7201940/>
2. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7139347/>
3. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0013935122007010>
4. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC1241215/>
5. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10286323/>
6. <https://mdsafetech.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/2018-prevalence-of-electromagnetic-sensitivity.pdf>
7. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9287836/>
8. <https://www.eurekahealth.com/resources/why-do-i-have-electromagnetic-sensitivity-en>
9. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wireless_device_radiation_and_health
10. <https://www.who.int/teams/environment-climate-change-and-health/radiation-and-health/non-ionizing/wireless>
11. https://www.vumc.org/safety/sites/vumc.org.safety/files/public_files/osha/wifi-safety.pdf
12. <https://www.webmd.com/a-to-z-guides/electromagnetic-hypersensitivity>
13. <https://www.vice.com/en/article/the-people-who-claim-theyre-allergic-to-wifi/>
14. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9216613/>
15. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/bem.20711>
16. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3681577/>
17. <https://www.nrc.gov/docs/ML1126/ML112660019.pdf>
18. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5680647/>
19. <https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/research/topics/cellphones>
20. <https://www.urbanrecovery.com/blog/addiction-to-cell-phone-statistics>
21. <https://www.who.int/teams/environment-climate-change-and-health/radiation-and-health/non-ionizing/hypersensitivity>